

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIL		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,796		09/18/2003	Jacob Johannes Scholtz	F124C1	3492	
25784	7590	02/08/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
MICHAEL		EINBERG	GURZO,	GURZO, PAUL M		
P.O. BOX 10 AUSTIN, T		5-4140	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				2881		
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/200	DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					——————————————————————————————————————			
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/664,	796	SCHOLTZ ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Paul Gu		2881				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no estation. ays, a reply within the stary period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be ting atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from aplication to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered time the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 08 April 2004						
·	•	☐ This action is	non-final.					
- '=	,	<del></del>		osecution as to the	e merits is			
-,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are valued.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction	withdrawn from c						
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 September 2</u> Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	<u>003</u> is/are: a)⊠ n to the drawing(s) e correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have be cuments have be he priority docun Bureau (PCT Ri	en received. en received in Applicat nents have been receiv ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen			4) T lates to 2000	· (DTO 412)				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>0504</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2881

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recited the limitation "ion collector" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 16-18 recite the limitation "gas amplification" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 11, 12, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meisberger et al. (5,578,821).

Regarding claim 1, 821 teaches a particle optical apparatus comprising a sample holder (24) for receiving a sample (57), a particle source (81) for producing a primary beam of charged particles, a first detection means (117) arranged for amplifying and detecting secondary electrons (col. 9, lines 5-11), a detection space formed by the sample holder and first detection means (col.

Art Unit: 2881

7, line 65 - col. 8, line 11 and Fig. 4). They also teach the use of an immersion lens (col. 11, lines 12-27), magnetic and electric fields that are oriented in a traverse manner (col. 10, lines 49-53), and a gas in the detection space (col. 3, lines 40-43).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, 821 teaches electrically biasing the sample (col. 8, lines 53-56) and a plurality of detectors providing an output signal composed of a combination of at least two signals provided by the detection means (col. 7, lines 4-17).

Regarding claims 16-18, 821 teaches that the gas amplification can be 1000 and 5000 (col. 13, lines 4-21), and it is inherent that the amplification can be greater if the voltage is increased.

Regarding claims 19 and 20, 821 teaches a ring shaped electrode (83), amplification means, a first detection means (117) arranged for detecting secondary electrons, a sample holder (24) for receiving a sample (57), a particle source (81) for producing a primary beam of charged particles, an immersion lens (col. 11, lines 12-27 and Fig. 4), a gas introduction (col. 3, lines 40-43), and magnetic and electric fields that are oriented in a traverse manner (col. 10, lines 49-53).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-10 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meisberger et al. (5,578,821), and further in view of Van Der Mast (6,184,525).

Art Unit: 2881

Regarding claims 2-10, 821 teaches the use of a first, second, and third detector (117, 127, and 160) for detecting the desired particles (Fig. 4). These detectors act in the same manner as the claimed detectors, and adding a fourth detector is obvious to the prior art because it will increase the ability to inspect. They do not explicitly teach that the detector comprises a first electrode. However, 525 teaches a detector electrode (30) that is arranged for detecting electrons and comprises a central opening which is symmetrically formed around the optical axis (col. 5, lines 1-27 and Fig. 2). In addition, 525 teaches the formation of ions which arise in the gas discharge (col. 4, lines 8-29), any of the detectors will act as collectors to collect liberated ions due to interactions between the gas and electrons. It is obvious that an electric field is produced by the electrode, and that electric field and magnetic can be oriented in the proper manner based on the voltage application to the electrodes. Further, it would have been obvious to extend this detection in conjunction with an electrode to include all of the detectors.

821 depicts the claimed second detection means (160) that is located between the sample and the first detection means (Fig. 4). They also teach a third detector, but do not teach the exact placement of this detector. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the prior art to place the detectors in the desired location, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Regarding claims 13-15, it is obvious that the appropriate voltage application in the prior art, assuming a constant mass and charge of the electrons, will result in the desired electric field strength, and 821 teaches the desired amplification domain (col. 13, lines 4-21). Further, the

Art Unit: 2881

appropriate fields will produce the appropriate oscillation and amplification (See 525, col. 3, lines 13-63), and this will lead to the claimed Penning enhance magnification.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Meisburger et al. (5,502,306)

Shinada et al. (6,329,826)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Gurzo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2472. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri. 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2477. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

PMG January 28, 2005

VIIV. LEE

CILITER 2800

Page 5